

Weak forms of the Krull-Schmidt Theorem

Federico Campanini

Joint work with Alberto Facchini



Classical Krull-Schmidt Theorem for modules [Krull 1925, Schmidt 1929]

Let R be a ring and M be an R -module* of **finite composition length**. Then there exists a decomposition

$$M = M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_r$$

into **indecomposable submodules**, which is **essentially unique**: if $M = N_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_t$ is another decomposition of M into indecomposable submodules, then $r = t$ and there exists a permutation σ of $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $M_i \cong N_{\sigma(i)}$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

*Convention:

- ring = associative ring with 1
- R -module = unital right R -modules

Can we drop the assumption “finite composition length”?

(Recall: Finite length = Artinian + Noetherian)

- Krull knew that Noetherian modules decompose as a direct-sum of indecomposable modules, but that their direct-sum decompositions are not essentially unique (1932).
- Krull asked if the Krull-Schmidt Theorem holds for Artinian modules (1932).

... 63 years later...

- In 1995, Facchini, Herbera, Levy and Vámos showed that the Krull-Schmidt Theorem fails also for Artinian modules.

Theorem [Facchini, Herbera, Levy and Vámos 1995]:

For any integer $n \geq 2$ there exists an artinian module M_R over a suitable ring R such that M_R can be written as a direct sum of k indecomposable modules for $k = 2, \dots, n$.

For modules of finite composition length:

“being indecomposable” = “having a local endomorphism ring”

Krull-Schmidt-Remak-Azumaya Theorem 1950:

Let R be a ring and let $\{M_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $\{N_j \mid j \in J\}$ be two families of modules with local endomorphism rings. Then

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \cong \bigoplus_{j \in J} N_j$$

if and only if there exists a bijection $\sigma : I \rightarrow J$ such that $M_i \cong N_{\sigma(i)}$ for all $i \in I$.

We want to deal with **finite** direct-sum decompositions.

(Our version of the) Krull-Schmidt Theorem

Let $M_1, \dots, M_r, N_1, \dots, N_t$ be $r + t$ R -modules with local endomorphism rings. Then

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_i \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^t N_j$$

if and only if $r = t$ and there exists a permutation $\sigma \in S_r$ such that $M_i \cong N_{\sigma(i)}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, r$.

What happens if we drop the assumption “having a local endomorphism ring”?

Weak form of the Krull-Schmidt Theorem:

Take indecomposable objects $M_1, \dots, M_r, N_1, \dots, N_s$ into a suitable category such that

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_i \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^s N_j \iff \text{“uniqueness up to a (fixed) number of permutations/invariants”}$$

A weak form of the Krull-Schmidt Theorem

An R -module U is said to be **uniserial** if the lattice of its submodules is linearly ordered under inclusion, that is, for every $V, W \leq U$, either $V \subseteq W$ or $W \subseteq V$.

Proposition [Facchini, 1996]

The endomorphism ring $\text{End}(U_R)$ of a non-zero uniserial module U_R has **at most two maximal right ideals**: the completely prime two-sided ideals

$$I_{U,m} := \{ f \in \text{End}(U_R) \mid f \text{ is not a monomorphism} \}$$

and

$$I_{U,e} := \{ f \in \text{End}(U_R) \mid f \text{ is not an epimorphism} \},$$

or only one of them.

Two R -modules M and N are said to have:

- the same **monogeny class**, denoted by $M \sim_m N$, if there exist two **monomorphisms**:

$$M \hookrightarrow N \quad \text{and} \quad N \hookrightarrow M$$

- the same **epigeny class**, denoted by $M \sim_e N$, if there exist two epimorphisms:

$$M \twoheadrightarrow N \quad \text{and} \quad N \twoheadrightarrow M$$

Finite direct sums of uniserial modules are classified via their monogeny class and their epigeny class.

A weak form of the Krull-Schmidt Theorem [Facchini, 1996]

Let $U_1, \dots, U_r, V_1, \dots, V_t$ be non-zero **uniserial** R -modules. Then

$$U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_r \cong V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_t$$

if and only if $r = t$ and there are **two permutations** σ, τ of $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $U_i \sim_m V_{\sigma(i)}$ and $U_i \sim_e V_{\tau(i)}$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

In the same paper, Facchini constructed an example of n^2 ($n \geq 2$) pairwise non-isomorphic uniserial modules $U_{j,k}$ ($j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$) over a suitable ring R in order to show that a module that is a direct sum of n uniserial modules can have $n!$ pairwise non-isomorphic direct-sum decompositions.

Example for $n = 2$:

$$R := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p & 0 & 0 \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{Q} & \mathbb{Q} & \mathbb{Z}_q & \mathbb{Z}_q \\ \mathbb{Q} & \mathbb{Q} & q\mathbb{Z}_q & \mathbb{Z}_q \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathbf{M}_4(\mathbb{Q}).$$

- Consider the 4 uniserial right R -modules:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{1,1} &= \left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Z}_p}, \frac{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Z}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_q, \mathbb{Z}_q \right) & U_{1,2} &= \left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}}{p\mathbb{Z}_p}, \frac{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Z}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_q, \mathbb{Z}_q \right) \\ U_{2,1} &= \left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Z}_p}, \frac{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Z}_p}, q\mathbb{Z}_q, \mathbb{Z}_q \right) & U_{2,2} &= \left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}}{p\mathbb{Z}_p}, \frac{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Z}_p}, q\mathbb{Z}_q, \mathbb{Z}_q \right) \end{aligned}$$

- $U_{1,1} \sim_m U_{1,2}$ & $U_{2,2} \sim_m U_{2,1}$ and $U_{1,1} \sim_e U_{2,1}$ & $U_{2,2} \sim_e U_{1,2}$
- $U_{1,1} \oplus U_{2,2} \cong U_{\sigma(1),\tau(1)} \oplus U_{\sigma(2),\tau(2)}$ for every pair of permutations σ and τ

“Weak forms of the Krull-Schmidt Theorem in the case two”

There are other examples (e.g. kernel of morphisms between indecomposable injective modules, couniformly presented modules, ...)

- B. Amini, A. Amini and A. Facchini, *Equivalence of diagonal matrices over local rings*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), pp. 1288–1310.
- A. Facchini, *Krull-Schmidt fails for serial modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), pp. 4561–4575.
- A. Facchini, Ş. Ecevit and M. T. Koşan, *Kernels of morphisms between indecomposable injective modules*, Glasgow Math. J. **52A** (2010), pp. 69–82.
- A. Facchini and N. Girardi, *Couniformly presented modules and dualities*. In: Huynh, D.V., López Permouth, S.R. (eds.) *Advances in Ring Theory*, Trends in Math., Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel - Heidelberg - London - New York 2010, pp. 149–163.
- A. Facchini and M. Perone, *On some noteworthy pairs of ideals in Mod- R* , Appl. Categor. Struct. **22** (2014), pp. 147–167.
- A. Facchini and P. Příhoda, *The Krull-Schmidt Theorem in the case two*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **14** (2011), pp. 545–570.

Beyond the case two...

The category of morphisms between modules

Let $\text{Morph}(\text{Mod-}R)$ denote the **morphism category**, defined as follows:

- **objects:** R -module morphisms between right R -modules.

We will denote by M and N generic objects $M: M_0 \rightarrow M_1$ and $N: N_0 \rightarrow N_1$.

- **morphisms:** a morphism $u: M \rightarrow N$ in the category $\text{Morph}(\text{Mod-}R)$ is a pair of R -module morphisms (u_0, u_1) making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M_0 & \xrightarrow{M} & M_1 \\
 \downarrow u_0 & & \downarrow u_1 \\
 N_0 & \xrightarrow{N} & N_1
 \end{array}$$

Theorem [Fossum, Griffith, Reiten 1975, Green 1982]

$$\text{Morph}(\text{Mod-}R) \cong \text{Mod-} \begin{pmatrix} R & R \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$$

- Let \mathcal{U} be the class of all non-zero uniserial right R -modules.
- Let $\text{Morph}(\mathcal{U})$ be the full subcategory of $\text{Morph}(\text{Mod-}R)$ whose objects are all morphisms between two modules of \mathcal{U} .

Proposition:

Let M be an object of $\text{Morph}(\mathcal{U})$. Then $\text{End}(M)$ has **at most four maximal right ideals**, which are among the completely prime **two-sided** ideals

$$I_{M,0,m} := \{ (u_0, u_1) \in \text{End}(M) \mid u_0 \text{ is not a monomorphism} \},$$

$$I_{M,1,m} := \{ (u_0, u_1) \in \text{End}(M) \mid u_1 \text{ is not a monomorphism} \},$$

$$I_{M,0,e} := \{ (u_0, u_1) \in \text{End}(M) \mid u_0 \text{ is not an epimorphism} \},$$

$$I_{M,1,e} := \{ (u_0, u_1) \in \text{End}(M) \mid u_1 \text{ is not an epimorphism} \}.$$

For every pair of objects $M, N \in \text{Morph}(\text{Mod-}R)$ we will write:

- $M \sim_{0,m} N$ if there exist two morphisms $(u_0, u_1) \in \text{Hom}(M, N)$ and $(v_0, v_1) \in \text{Hom}(N, M)$ such that both u_0 and v_0 are injective right R -modules morphisms;
- $M \sim_{1,m} N$ if there exist two morphisms $(u_0, u_1) \in \text{Hom}(M, N)$ and $(v_0, v_1) \in \text{Hom}(N, M)$ such that both u_1 and v_1 are injective right R -modules morphisms;
- $M \sim_{0,e} N$ if there exist two morphisms $(u_0, u_1) \in \text{Hom}(M, N)$ and $(v_0, v_1) \in \text{Hom}(N, M)$ such that both u_0 and v_0 are surjective right R -modules morphisms;
- $M \sim_{1,e} N$ if there exist two morphisms $(u_0, u_1) \in \text{Hom}(M, N)$ and $(v_0, v_1) \in \text{Hom}(N, M)$ such that both u_1 and v_1 are surjective right R -modules morphisms.

Theorem [— , El-Deken and Facchini, 2018]:

Let $M_1, \dots, M_r, N_1, \dots, N_t$ be $r + t$ objects in $\text{Morph}(\mathcal{U})$. Then

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^r M_j \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^t N_k$$

if and only if $r = t$ and there exist **four permutations** $\sigma_{0,m}, \sigma_{1,m}, \sigma_{0,e}, \sigma_{1,e}$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $M_j \sim_{i,a} N_{\sigma_{i,a}(j)}$ for every $j = 1, \dots, r$, $i = 0, 1$ and $a = m, e$.

“Weak forms of the Krull-Schmidt Theorem in the case n ”

We have:

- An additive category \mathcal{C} and a fixed positive integer n . ($n =$ the number of “invariants”).
- A class \mathcal{U} of (indecomposable) objects of \mathcal{C} such that for every $M \in \mathcal{U}$, the endomorphism ring $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$ has at most n maximal ideals which are among n completely prime two-sided ideals $I_{M,1}, \dots, I_{M,n}$.
- n equivalence relations \sim_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) on $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ related to the maximal ideals of the objects.

Weak form of the Krull-Schmidt Theorem

Let $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r, N_1, N_2, \dots, N_s$ be $r + s$ objects of \mathcal{U} . Then

$$\bigoplus_{k=1}^r M_k \cong \bigoplus_{\ell=1}^s N_{\ell}$$

in the category \mathcal{C} if and only if $r = s$ and there exist n permutations σ_i of $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, where $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that $M_k \sim_i N_{\sigma_i(k)}$ for every $k = 1, \dots, r$.

- B. Amini, A. Amini and A. Facchini, *Cyclically presented modules over rings of finite type*, Communications in Algebra, **39** (2010), pp. 76-99.
- ———, *On a category of chain of modules whose endomorphism rings have at most $2n$ maximal ideals*, Communications in Algebra **49** (2018), pp. 1971-1982.
- ———, *Weak forms of the Krull-Schmidt theorem and Prüfer rings in distinguished constructions*, Ph.D. Thesis (2019).
- ———, S.F. El-Deken and A. Facchini, *Homomorphisms with semilocal endomorphism rings between modules*, Algebr. Represent. Th., **23** (2019), pp. 2237-2256.
- ——— and A. Facchini, *On a category of extensions whose endomorphism rings have at most four maximal ideals*. In: López-Permouth, S., Park, J. K., Roman, C., Rizvi, S. T. (eds.) Advances in Rings and Modules, pp. 107–126, Contemp. Math. 715 (2018).
- A. Facchini and M. Perone, *Maximal ideals in preadditive categories and semilocal categories*, J. Algebra Appl. **10** (2011), 1-27.
- A. Facchini and P. Příhoda, *Endomorphism rings with finitely many maximal right ideals*, Comm. Algebra **39** (2011), 3317–3338.
- N. Girardi, *Finite direct sums controlled by finitely many permutations*. Rocky Mountain J. Math. **43** no. 3 (2013), pp. 905–929.
- M.T. Koşan; T.C. Quynh J. and Žemlička, *Kernels of homomorphisms between uniform quasi-injective modules* J. Algebra Appl. **21** (2022), 15 pp.

A key notion: ideals and factor categories

- An **ideal** \mathcal{J} of an additive category \mathcal{C} assigns to every pair of objects A, B of \mathcal{C} a subgroup $\mathcal{J}(A, B) \trianglelefteq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ such that, for every objects C, D in \mathcal{C} ,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, D)\mathcal{J}(A, B)\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, A) \subseteq \mathcal{J}(C, D)$$

- Given any ideal of \mathcal{C} , we can consider the **factor category** \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{J} :
 - *Objects*: $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{J})$;
 - *Morphisms*: $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{J}}(A, B) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)/\mathcal{J}(A, B)$.

For any ideal \mathcal{J} of \mathcal{C} , we have a canonical additive functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{J}$.

- Let A be an object of \mathcal{C} and $I \trianglelefteq \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$. The **ideal of \mathcal{C} associated to I** is the ideal \mathcal{J}_A of \mathcal{C} defined by:

$$f \in \mathcal{J}_A(X, Y) \iff \left(A \xrightarrow{\forall \alpha} X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{\forall \beta} A \right) \in I \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$$

Equivalence relations in terms of the factor categories

Ingredients:

$$\triangleright \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C} \quad \triangleright M \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{U}) \rightsquigarrow \text{Max}(\text{End}(M)) \subseteq \{I_{M,1}, \dots, I_{M,n}\} \quad \triangleright \sim_1, \dots, \sim_n$$

Lemma:

Take $M \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{U})$, $I_{M,j} \in \text{Max}(\text{End}(M))$ and $\mathcal{J}_{M,j}$ its associated ideal in \mathcal{C} .
For any object $N \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{U})$ we have:

$$M \sim_j N \iff M \cong N \text{ in } \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{J}_{M,j}$$

and

$$M \approx_j N \iff N \cong 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{J}_{M,j}$$

Moreover, in the first case $I_{N,j} = \mathcal{J}_{M,j}(N, N)$ is a maximal right ideal of $\text{End}(N)$ and $\mathcal{J}_{M,j} = \mathcal{J}_{N,j}$.

For any object M of \mathcal{U} , define:

$$V(M) := \{ \mathcal{J}_{M,i} \mid I_{M,i} \text{ is a maximal ideal of } \text{End}(M) \}.$$

Proposition [— , Facchini 2018]

Let M_1, \dots, M_r be objects of \mathcal{U} . Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between the maximal two-sided ideals of $\text{End}_e(\bigoplus_{k=1}^r M_k)$ and $\bigcup_{k=1}^r V(M_k)$, given by

$$\Psi : \bigcup_{k=1}^r V(M_k) \longrightarrow \text{Max} \left(\text{End}_e \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^r M_k \right) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{M_k,i} \mapsto \mathcal{J}_{M_k,i}(\bigoplus_{k=1}^r M_k, \bigoplus_{k=1}^r M_k)$$

$$\mathcal{J} \leftrightarrow J$$

Theorem [— , Facchini 2018]

Let $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r, N_1, N_2, \dots, N_s$ be $r + s$ objects of \mathcal{U} . For every $j = 1, \dots, n$ define

$$X_j := \{k \mid k = 1, \dots, r, I_{M_k, j} \text{ is a maximal ideal of } \text{End}(M_k)\}$$

$$Y_j := \{\ell \mid \ell = 1, \dots, s, I_{N_\ell, j} \text{ is a maximal ideal of } \text{End}(N_\ell)\}.$$

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $\bigoplus_{k=1}^r M_k \cong \bigoplus_{\ell=1}^s N_\ell$ in the category \mathcal{C} ;
- (2) $r = s$ and there exist n permutations σ_j of $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, where $j = 1, \dots, n$, such that $M_k \sim_j N_{\sigma_j(k)}$ for every $k = 1, \dots, r$.
- (3) $r = s$ and there exist n bijections $\tau_j : X_j \rightarrow Y_j$, where $j = 1, \dots, n$, such that $M_k \sim_j N_{\tau_j(k)}$ for every $k \in X_j$;

Thank you!